

**CITY OF MONTROSE
MONTROSE, COLORADO**

SINGLE AUDIT

**FOR THE YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 2016**



RECEIVED

By the Office of the State Auditor at 11:10 am, Aug 15, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SINGLE AUDIT	
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1 - 2
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	3 - 4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5 - 6
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8

Holscher, Mayberry & Company, LLC

Certified Public Accountants

Member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Governmental Audit Quality Center
and Private Company Practice Section

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the City Council
City of Montrose
Montrose, Colorado

Independent Auditors' Report

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Montrose, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Montrose's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 2, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Montrose's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Montrose's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Montrose's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Montrose's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statements of amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our test disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Holscher, Mayberry + Company, LLC

Englewood, Colorado
June 2, 2017

Holscher, Mayberry & Company, LLC

Certified Public Accountants

Member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Governmental Audit Quality Center
and Private Company Practice Section

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

To the City Council
City of Montrose
Montrose, Colorado

Independent Auditors' Report

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Montrose's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the of the City of Montrose's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016. The City of Montrose's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant applicable to its federal program.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City of Montrose's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance on accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Montrose's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of Montrose's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City of Montrose complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Montrose is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City of Montrose's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Montrose's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned function, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance*, is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency on internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Montrose as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and have issued our report thereon dated June 2, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Holscher, Mayberry + Company, LLC

Englewood, Colorado
June 2, 2017

City of Montrose

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

December 31, 2016

Summary of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? _____ yes x no
- Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? _____ yes x no

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? _____ yes x no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? _____ yes x no
- Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? _____ yes x no

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guidance? _____ yes x no

Identification of major programs:

14.228 Community Development Block Grant/State's Program

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? _____ yes x no

City of Montrose

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

December 31, 2016

II - Findings relating to the financial statement audit as required to be reported in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards

A. Material Weaknesses in Internal Control

The audit did not disclose any material weaknesses in internal controls related to financial reporting.

B. Compliance Findings

The audit did not disclose any compliance findings with regards to financial reporting.

III - Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards

A. Material Weaknesses in Internal Control

The audit did not disclose any material weaknesses to internal controls related to federal grant activity.

B. Compliance Findings

The audit did not disclose any compliance findings with regards to federal award activity.

City of Montrose
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards By Grant
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass-through Grantor and Number</i>	<i>Federal Expenditures(\$)</i>
United States Department of Justice Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		\$ 2,764
Recovery Act - State Victim Assistance Formula Grant Program <i>Total United States Department of Justice</i>	16.801	CO Division of Criminal Justice,13-VA-7-37	<u>31,929</u> <u>34,693</u>
Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction State and Community Highway Safety <i>Total Department of Transportation</i>	20.205 20.600	CO Dept of Transportation	172,363 <u>27,988</u> <u>200,351</u>
United States Environmental Protection Agency Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements <i>Total United States Environmental Protection Agency</i>	66.818		<u>151,579</u> <u>151,579</u>
Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Development Block Grants/State's program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii Community Development Block Grants/State's program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii <i>Total Department of Housing and Urban Development</i> <i>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</i>	14.228 14.228	CO Dept of Local Affairs,CDBG 15-501 CO Dept of Local Affairs,CDBG 15-502	570,000 <u>600,000</u> <u>1,170,000</u> <u>\$ 1,556,623</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule

City of Montrose

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

December 31, 2016

NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards, (the "Schedule"), includes the federal award activity of the City of Montrose under the programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2016. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the District, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the District

NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

NOTE 3: 10% DE MINIMUS COST RATE

The District did not utilize the 10% de minimis cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.